	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/912,392	HINCHLIFFE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew T. Henning	2131
The MAILING DATE of this communication apper All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. A This communication is responsive to the communication de	ated 6/26/2007.	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>7-13,15-24,26,27,34-40,42-51,</u>	53,54,61-67,69-78,80,81,83 and 84.	
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a)	been received. been received in Application No	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file a reply of ENT of this application.	complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EXAMINER' se reason(s) why the oath or declarat	S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF cion is deficient.
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT in	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S Amendment / Comment or in the O 84(c)) should be written on the drawin he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d	ffice action of gs in the front (not the back) of). nust be submitted. Note the
 Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	9. Other	PTO-413),

Application/Control Number: 09/912,392

Art Unit: 2131

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

This action is in response to the communication filed on 6/26/2007.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see the communication, filed 6/26/2007, with respect to the independent claims have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims have been withdrawn.

Claims 7-13, 15-24, 26-27, 34-40, 42-51, 53-54, 61-67, 69-78, 80-81, and 83-84 have been examined.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-13, 15-24, 26-27, 34-40, 42-51, 53-54, 61-67, 69-78, 80-81, and 83-84 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

While the closest prior art, Hruska et al. to Patent No. 6,195,587 teaches a system which, upon user request to a server, checks the validity of a file in order to make a determination as to whether the file has been infected by malware, neither Hruska nor the remaining prior art teach or render obvious the access control system as claimed including the combination wherein said assessment computer stores a database of computer files and said database includes for each computer file a persistence flag indicating whether an entry relating to said computer file should be purged from said database during purge operations; wherein, said database includes for each computer file fields specifying a filename of said computer file, data identifying said requesting computer and a storage location of said computer file and a checksum value calculated from said computer file; wherein said assessment computer is operable in at least a higher level security

Application/Control Number: 09/912,392

Art Unit: 2131

1 mode and a lower level security mode, said assessment computer serving to deny access to a

2 greater range of computer files when operating an said higher level security mode compared with

3 said lower level security mode.

4 Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

5 payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

6

7

10

12

15

8 Conclusion

9 Claims 7-13, 15-24, 26-27, 34-40, 42-51, 53-54, 61-67, 69-78, 80-81, and 83-84 are

allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew T. Henning whose telephone number is (571) 272-3790.

13 The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2131

l	Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent		
2	Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications		
3	may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished		
4	applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR		
5	system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR		
6	system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would		
7	like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated		
8	information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.		
9			
0			
1			
2			
3	Swilling		
4	/Matthew Henning/		
5	Assistant Examiner SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		
6	Art Unit 2131 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100		
7	9/10/2007		